

University of Brighton

User Evaluation of the Neater Uni-Wheelchair in the Home Environment



Dr Anne Mandy Reader, Clinical Research Centre for Health Professions, University of Brighton Mr Samuel Lesley Faculty Fellow University of Brighton Mr Jon Michaelis Neater Solutions

Aim

The aim of the study was to evaluate user's experiences of using the Neater Uni-wheelchair in the home environment.

Introduction

The Neater Uni-wheelchair was designed as an alternative to powered wheelchairs, lever drive and dual handrim wheelchairs for hemiplegic users. The Neater Uni-wheelchair is a standard Action 3 chair to which a kit has been attached which enables users to self propel and steer independently of attendants. A differential in the rear wheel enables both wheels to be driven through one pushrim and a novel steering mechanism attached to the foot plate permits self directed steering. Earlier work by Mandy et al (2009;2007) indicated that the Neater Uni-wheelchair was more ergonomically efficient and preferred by users.

Methods

A group of 12 hemiplegic male and female subjects who had participated in the earlier studies by Mandy et al (2009) that investigated ergonomic efficiency of the Neater Uni-wheelchair were invited to use the wheelchair in their own homes for a period of one month. Experiences were recorded using either a digital recorder or in a diary. The data was transcribe or reviewed for thematic analysis.

Results

The following 4 themes were identified from the users' evaluations:

Theme	Evidence
Increased independence.	'I am able to take myself to the computer room when I feel like it'
	 'My husband was able to browse the shops on his own (I kept losing him!). It also meant that I was able to browse on my own too'.
	• 'Freedom!'
	'I am able to take myself to the bathroom when I need to'.
Improved manoeuvrability and ease of use within the home environment	• 'I liked the turning circle and being able to move in confined spaces'.
	 'The steering is very sensitive and responsive, it is a great improvement over standard wheelchairs'.
	• 'It can be easily stored'.
Easier to drive	'I don't have to think about using two handrims to propel myself'.
	• 'It is easy and intuitive to use'.
Use	 I didn't think I would use but having had one it is a fine piece of work and I am very pleased with it'.

Conclusions:

The Neater Uni-wheelchair is a viable alternative to those that are currently available to hemiplegic users. There are no storage issues and it is also a cheaper option than a powered wheelchair.

Keywords:

Assistive technology, independence, manoeuvrability

References

Mandy A, Lesley S (2009) Measures of Energy Expenditure, and Comfort in an ESP wheelchair: A Controlled Trial using Hemiplegic Users'. Disability and Rehab Assistive Technology May 2009; 4(3): 137–142

Mandy A, Lesley S (2007) Energy expenditure, and comfort in a modified wheelchair for people with hemiplegia: A controlled trial Disability and Rehabilitation: Assistive Technology, September 2007; 2(5): 255 – 260